Interaction between Disinfection By-Products (DBPs) and Birth Defects: A Systematic Review

Giovanna Deiana Tommaso Filippini Marco Dettori Marco Vinceti Antonio Azara



Background

DBPs are formed upon the reaction of chemical disinfectants with DBP precursors.

DBP formation is influenced by water quality, treatment conditions, type, dose, and residue of the disinfectant used, and concentration and properties of the organic matter present in the water.

Disinfectant	Significant organo- halogen products	Significant inorganic products	Significant non- halogenated products
Chlorine/ hypochlorous acid	THMs, HAAs, HANs, chloral hydrate, chloropicrin, chlorophenols, <i>N</i> -chloramines, halofuranones, bromohydrins	Chlorate (mostly from hypo- chlorite use)	Aldehydes, cyanoalkanoic acids, alkanoic acids, benzene carboxylic acids
Chlorine dioxide		chlorite, chlorate	unknown
Chloramine	HANs, cyanogen chloride, organic chloramines, chloramino acids, chloral hydrate, haloketones	nitrate, nitrite, chlorate, hydrazine	aldehydes, ketones
Ozone	bromoform, MBA, DBA, DBAC, cyanogen bromide	chlorate, iodate, bromate, hydrogen peroxide, hypobromous acid, epoxides, ozonates	aldehydes, ketoacids, ketones, carboxylic acids

Background

Numerous DBPs can be hazardous to health but these risks are still lower than the microbiological ones.

Therefore, in most cases, abandoning the disinfection process is impossible.

However, the health risks caused by DBPs, even if low, given the large number of people exposed, result in a high number of cases, therefore, cannot be ignored.



Health effects

Possible carcinogenicity and mutagenicity

14 to 16% of all bladder cancer cases can be attributed to exposure to DBPs (King, 1996)

9% of all bladder cancer cases and 15% of bowel cancer cases are attributed to chlorinated byproducts in drinking water (Morris, 1992)



Water Research 38 (2004) 3809-3819

A new approach to evaluating the toxicity and genotoxicity of disinfected drinking water

Silvano Monarcaa,*, Claudia Zanib, Susan D. Richardsonc, Alfred D. Thruston Jr^c, Massimo Moretti^a, Donatella Feretti^b, Milena Villarini^a

Department of Hygiene and Public Health, University of Perugia, Via del Giochetto 06126, Italy ^bDepartment of Applied and Experimental Medicine, University of Brescia, Italy "US Environmental Protection Agency, National Exposure Research Laboratory, Athene, GA, USA

Review

WATER ESEARCH

www.elsevier.com/locate/watres

Water disinfection by-products and bladder cancer: is there a European specificity? A pooled and meta-analysis of European case—control studies

N Costet,¹ C M Villanueva,^{23,4} J J K Jaakkola,⁵ M Kogevinas,^{2,4,6,7} K P Cantor,⁸ W D King,⁹ C F Lynch,¹⁰ M J Nieuwenhuijsen,^{2,4,6} S Cordier¹

Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the International Epidemiological Association © The Author 2010; all rights reserved. Advance Access publication 5 February 2010

International Journal of Epidemiology 2010;39:733-745 doi:10.1093/ije/dyp371

Disinfection by-products in drinking water and colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis

Md. Bayzidur Rahman,^{1,2}* Tim Driscoll,¹ Christine Cowie³ and Bruce K Armstrong¹

*Corresponding author, Room 215, Samuels Building (F25), The School of Public Health and Community Medicine, The University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia. E-mail: bayzid@unsw.edu.au

School of Public Health, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia, ²The School of Public Health and Community Medicine, The University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia and ³Wookock Institute of Medical Research, Sydney, NSW. Australia

Health effects

Prenatal exposure to DBPs in drinking water has been associated with various adverse effects on the reproductive sphere such as stillbirth, abortion, uterine growth retardation, low birth weight, prematurity and malformations.

Epidemiological evidence is still weak due to the difficulties in assessing individual exposure to DBPs, in identifying personal habits that can influence the extent of exposure and in controlling the action exerted by numerous confounding factors.

Disinfection By-Product Exposures and the Risk of Specific Cardiac Birth Defects

J. Michael Wright,¹ Amanda Evans,² John A. Kaufman,³ Zorimar Rivera-Núñez,⁴ and Michael G. Nar

¹National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA), Office of Research and Development (ORD), U.S. Environment Agency (EPA), Cincinnati, Ohio, USA; ²School of Osteopathic Medicine, Campbell University, Lillington, North Carolina, ³ASPPH/EPA Environmental Health Fellowship Program, hosted by NCEA, ORD, U.S. EPA, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA; ⁴Radi-Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey, New Brunswick, New Jersey, USA; ⁵National Health and Environmental Effects Laboratory, ORD, U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, USA





Disinfection by-product exposures and the risk of musculoskeletal birth defects

John A. Kaufman^{**}, J. Michael Wright^b, Amanda Evans^{*}, Zorimar Rivera-Núñez^{*}, Amy Meyer^{*}, Michael G. Narotsky⁴

Objective

This study was aimed at systematically assessing the association between exposure to different DBPs and the occurrence of birth defects, using a dose-response approach

Literature search and selection



Total records identified (n = 842) - Duplicate records removed before screening (n = 336)

Literature search and selection



Literature search and selection



Case-control (n = 13) Cohort (n = 11) Cross-sectional (n = 5)





<u>THMs</u> = chloroform, bromoform, dibromochloromethane, bromodichloromethane

<u>HAAs</u> = trichloroacetic acid, dichloroacetic acid, monochloroacetic acid, dibromoacetic acid, monobromoacetic acid, bromochloroacetic acid

<u>**Others DBPs**</u> = chlorite, chlorate, nitrate

outcome3	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
abdominal wall defects	96	7.28	7.28
cardiovascular defects	395	5 29.95	37.23
chromosomal defects	40	3.03	40.26
digestive system defects	15	5 1.14	41.39
growth defects	129	9.78	51.18
integument congenital defects	3	0.23	51.4
musculoskeletal defects	122	9.25	60.65
nervous system defects	12	2 0.91	61.56
neural tube defects	82	.22	67.78
orofacial defects	357	27.07	94.84
respiratory system defects	20) 1.52	96.36
urogenital defects	48	3.64	100
Total	1,319) 100	





Conclusions

Understanding the potential interaction between DBPs exposure and birth defects is crucial to develop effective prevention and intervention strategies and to establish more accurate exposure assessment methods. Therefore, study findings may have significant implications for water treatment procedures, public health policies, and prenatal care recommendations.

The new national legislation on the quality of drinking water imposes a parametric value for THMs of 30 μ g/l, more restrictive than the 100 μ g/l provided for by EU Directive 2020/2184 and, in accordance with the same directive, adds a parameter value for HAAs with 60 μ g/l.

TO BE CONTINUED...

